CEE 551 Traffic Science

Traffic Flow Theory Lecture 3

Shockwave solution and examples

Dr. Xingmin Wang Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering University of Michigan Email: xingminw@umich.edu

CEE 551 Traffic Science – Traffic Flow Theory

LWR model

LWR model formulation

o Combining the conservation law and flow-density empirical relationship, we will have the LWR (Lighthill-Whitham-Richards) model:

$$
\frac{\partial k(x,t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial q(x,t)}{\partial x} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial k(x,t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial Q_e(k(x,t))}{\partial x} = 0
$$
\n
$$
q(x,t) = Q_e(k(x,t)) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial k(x,t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial Q_e(k(x,t))}{\partial x} = 0
$$

o Initial state (boundary condition): $k(x, 0) = k_0(x)$

\Box Solving the LWR model

CHIGAN FNGINFFRING

 \circ Solving the PDE is to get $k(x,t)$ at any time t and location x given the location x

Vehicle speed, shockwave, and characteristic line

 \Box These three have different meanings, definitions, and speeds

Riemann problem

 \square Riemann problem: solving the LWR model with step initial state

Riemann problem: shockwave solution

 \Box We will get a shockwave solution if $k_1 < k_2$

Shockwave example: queue build-up

 \Box We have upstream constant arrival and downstream queue (jam density)

Riemann problem: rarefaction fan solution

 \Box We can twist the input step function into a continuous function

Rarefaction example: queue dissipation

 \Box Vehicle discharging from jam density

Outline

- \square A simplified shockwave solution
- \Box Example 1: highway moving bottleneck
- \Box Example 2: signalized intersection
- \Box Calculating total delay with the TS diagram

Outline

\square A simplified shockwave solution

- \square Example 1: highway moving bottleneck
- \Box Example 2: signalized intersection
- \Box Calculating total delay with the TS diagram

Simplified solution for rarefaction fan

□ While rarefaction is a more accurate solution, we can still use "shockwave" method

Simplified solution for rarefaction fan

■ While rarefaction is a more accurate solution, we can still use "shockwave" method

Why we can do this

- \Box It is easy to verify that the "shockwave solution" for the rarefaction fan also satisfies the LWR model equations (conservation law and fundamental diagram)
- \Box Recap that when we derive the shockwave speed (based on the conservation law), we do not require the upstream density is less than the downstream density
- \Box The "shockwave solution" for rarefaction fan essentially disregards the vehicle acceleration process
- \Box Solution of the Riemann problem is not unique, this is why LWR model is ill-posed (solution not unique and has discontinuity)

Shockwave solution of LWR model

- \Box If we use a shockwave method also for the rarefaction fan case, we will have a uniform "shockwave" solution for both shockwave and rarefaction fan
- \Box Shockwave can be regarded as the boundary between stationary traffic states
- \Box Shockwave speed is the slope of the line connecting two traffic states in FD (the derivation is based on the conservation law)

Shockwave speed

$$
v_s = \frac{q_2 - q_1}{k_2 - k_1}
$$

- $v_s > 0$: moving to the downstream
- $v_{\rm s}=0$: stationary
- v_{s} < 0: moving to the upstream

Summary of the shockwave theory

- \Box Step 1: determine the traffic states on both sides and label them in FD
- \Box Step 2: get the shockwave speed based on the given FD
- \Box Step 3: draw the shockwave line as the boundary of the two traffic states

FNGINFFRING

o We do not need to distinguish shockwave & rarefaction fan. They are all within the same solution framework

Summary of the shockwave theory

- \Box Step 1: determine the traffic states on both sides and label them in FD
- \Box Step 2: get the shockwave speed based on the given FD
- \Box Step 3: draw the shockwave line as the boundary of the two traffic states

FNGINFFRING

- o We do not need to distinguish shockwave & rarefaction fan. They are all within the same solution framework
- o We do not need to care about characteristic lines anymore, since traffic states are always uniform on both sides of the shockwave

Outline

A simplified shockwave solution

\square Example 1: highway moving bottleneck

 \Box Example 2: signalized intersection

 \Box Calculating total delay with the TS diagram

A truck enters the highway at t_1 with a slower speed v_l and exits at B. Traffic volume is q_a

A truck enters the highway at t_1 with a slower speed v_l and exits at B. Traffic volume is q_a

A truck enters the highway at t_1 with a slower speed v_l and exits at B. Traffic volume is q_a

A truck enters the highway at t_1 with a slower speed v_l and exits at B. Traffic volume is q_a

A truck enters the highway at t_1 with a slower speed v_l and exits at B. Traffic volume is q_a

A truck enters the highway at t_1 with a slower speed v_l and exits at B. Traffic volume is q_a

A truck enters the highway at t_1 with a slower speed v_l and exits at B. Traffic volume is q_a

A truck enters the highway at t_1 with a slower speed v_l and exits at B. Traffic volume is q_a

A truck enters the highway at t_1 with a slower speed v_l and exits at B. Traffic volume is q_a

A truck enters the highway at t_1 with a slower speed v_l and exits at B. Traffic volume is q_a

A truck enters the highway at t_1 with a slower speed v_l and exits at B. Traffic volume is q_a

Outline

■ A simplified shockwave solution

 \square Example 1: highway moving bottleneck

\square Example 2: signalized intersection

 \Box Calculating total delay with the TS diagram

 \Box Vehicle trajectories in the time-space diagram

Example 2a: triangular fundamental diagram

What if we have a triangular fundamental diagram?

□ What is the difference?

Example 2a: triangular fundamental diagram

 \square Time-space diagram of signalized intersection under a triangular FD

Example 2a: triangular fundamental diagram

 \square Time-space diagram of signalized intersection under a triangular FD

o Vehicle trajectories only have two states: stop and go (free-flow)

Example 2b: oversaturation

 \Box If the green light duration is not sufficient, the queueing vehicle will not be discharged within a traffic cycle

Example 2b: oversaturation

 \Box If the green light duration is not sufficient, the queueing vehicle will not be discharged within a traffic cycle

Example 2b: oversaturation

 \Box If the green light duration is not sufficient, the queueing vehicle will not be discharged within a traffic cycle

Queue length estimation with detector data

 \Box We can detect the queue length given high-resolution detector data by identifying the boundaries (break points) between traffic states

Liu, Henry X., et al. "Real-time queue length estimation for congested signalized intersections." *Transportation research part C: emerging technologies* 17.4 (2009): 412-427.

CEE 551 Traffic Science – Traffic Flow Theory

Detector data records

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

CEE 551 Traffic Science – Traffic Flow Theory

Outline

- A simplified shockwave solution
- \square Example 1: highway moving bottleneck
- \square Example 2: signalized intersection
- \square Calculating total delay with the TS diagram

Total travel time in the TS diagram

 \Box Total travel time equals to the density times the area in the TS diagram

Number of vehicle in dashed area at time t

 $n(t) = x(t) \cdot k$

Total travel time from t to $t + dt$

 $n(t) \cdot dt$

Total travel time for vehicles in the shaded region:

$$
TTT = \int n(t)dt = k \int x(t)dt = k \cdot S_0
$$

 $(S₀$ is the area of shaded region in the TS diagram)

Similarly, we have the total travel distance:

$$
TTD = q \cdot S_0
$$
 Check units!

Calculating delay caused by traffic signal

MICHIGAN ENGINEERING

 \Box Based on the total travel time equation, we can calculate the total delay caused by traffic signals

Traffic signal optimization

 \Box With the delay evaluation, we can formulate the traffic signal optimization program:

$$
\min_{g_i} \sum_i D_i(C_i, g_i, q_i)
$$

s.t.
$$
\sum g_i = C
$$

 \Box This is just a simple demonstration, there are many details regarding traffic signals which will be covered in the second part of this course

Edie's definition of average flow and density

Edie, L. C. (1963). Discussion of Traffic Stream Measurements and Definitions.

CEE 551 Traffic Science – Traffic Flow Theory

Empirical FD from vehicle trajectories

Tips for generating empirical FD

ENGINEERING

(Key: each dot in FD should represent a relatively uniform traffic state)

- Careful selection of the spatial and temporal resolutions
- Set various inputs and bottlenecks such as scatters can cover all conditions

Homework assignment

 \Box Homework 1

 \Box Due time: 09/23

 \Box (Homework submitted after the deadline without a valid reason will be accepted with a maximum possible score of 80%)

